

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Bromine nuclear quadrupole resonance study of $PrBr_3$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 4301 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/4/17/009)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.159 The article was downloaded on 12/05/2010 at 11:50

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Bromine nuclear quadrupole resonance study of PrBr₃

Sunyu Sut, Robin L Armstrongt and Wei Wei§

† Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7
‡ Department of Physics, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada E3B 5A3
§ Neutron and Solid State Physics AECL Research, Chalk River, Ontario, Canada

Received 11 November 1991

K0J 1J0

Abstract. Measurements of the bromine nuclear quadrupole resonance frequency and spin-spin relaxation time (T_2) are reported for praseodymium tribromide (PrBr₃) from 4.2 K to 0.125 K. The frequency data indicate that at T=0.130 K a phase transition to a three-dimensional antiferroelectric ordered state occurs. This distortion is believed to be a Peierls dimerization; a dimerization parameter is deduced. The T_2 results, which are not understood in detail, are shown to be due to a magnetic dipole interaction mechanism.

1. Introduction

The praseodymium trihalides, PrBr₃, are of particular interest because the nearestneighbour Pr-Pr interaction along the hexagonal crystal axes in these crystals provide a good physical realization of the ideal one-dimensional XY model. Early nuclear spin relaxation measurements (Mangum and Thornton 1969) for the ³⁵Cl nuclei in PrCl₃ yielded both spin-lattice (T_1) and spin-spin (T_2) relaxation times. The temperature dependence of these relaxation times was described as 'unusual'. In 1969 it was thought that PrBr₃ was an antiferromagnet; it is now known that this is incorrect (Hessler and Carlson 1 971). Recent more accurate measurements of the ³⁵Cl spin-lattice relaxation time in PrCl₃ and of ⁷⁹Br and ⁸¹Br relaxation times in PrBr₃ have been reported (Su *et al* 1991) from a nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) experiment carried out in the pseudo-one-dimensional regime. These data were shown to be in excellent agreement with the predictions of a relaxation theory based on a rigorous treatment (Katsura *et al* 1970) of the longitudinal dynamical correlation function $\langle S_z^m(t) S_z^n(0) \rangle$ of the electronic pseudo-spins S^m associated with the crystalline electric-field ground states.

The CW NQR spectrum of the ³⁵Cl nuclei in PrCl₃ (Colwell *et al* 1969) indicated that a phase transition occurs at $T_c = 0.428$ K. The single ³⁵Cl NQR line above T_c symmetrically splits into two lines of equal intensity below T_c . On the basis of a group theoretical analysis (Morra *et al* 1983) it was deduced that the phase transition was a co-operative Jahn-Teller distortion to a three-dimensional antiferroelectric ordered state, predicted to be a Peierls dimerization. To date this identification has not been verified directly by a neutron diffraction measurement.

In the present paper an NQR investigation of the two bromine isotopes in $PrBr_3$ is reported. Measurements of the NQR frequency spectrum and T_2 were carried out

from 4.2 K to 0.125 K using a dilution refrigerator and a Fourier-transform NQR spectrometer. The data are presented in section 2 and discussed in sections 3 and 4.

2. Data

The samples, the dilution refrigerator, the NQR spectrometer and the basic experimental method have been described in an earlier publication (Su *et al* 1991). The bromine NQR frequency data were obtained from a one-pulse experiment. A typical $\pi/2$ pulse length was 5.5 μ s. The ⁸¹Br data are shown in figure 1. The T_2 magnetization-decay curves were obtained using two different pulse sequences: (a) the $(\pi/2)_0 - \tau - (\pi)_0$ Hahn-echo sequence (Hahn 1950), and (b) the $(\pi/2)_0 - \tau - (\pi/2)_{90}$ solid-echo sequence (Powles and Strange 1963). Typical echo decays are shown on the semilogarithmic plots in figure 2. These magnetization-decay plots, $M(\tau)$ versus τ , are linear and their slopes give the corresponding T_2 values. The temperature dependences of T_2 for both the ⁷⁹Br and ⁸¹Br resonances and for both pulse sequences are plotted in figure 3. The curve drawn through ⁸¹Br data is a 'guide to the eye'. Each point on the curve drawn through ⁷⁹Br data corresponds to a T_2 value 1.16 times larger than the corresponding ⁸¹Br point.



Figure 1. Temperature dependence of the ⁸¹Br NQR frequency in PrBr₃. The dashed line represents reasonable extrapolation to low temperature as explained in the text.

3. Temperature dependence of the resonance frequency

From figure 1 we see that $PrBr_3$ undergoes a phase transition at 0.130 \pm 0.010 K. This transition temperature is much lower than that observed for $PrCl_3$ (Colwell *et al* 1969). Since this was not expected, we also measured the ³⁵Cl NOR spectrum in $PrCl_3$ as a check on our sample temperature determination. The data yielded a transition temperature of 0.410 \pm 0.010 K, which is in reasonable agreement with the earlier result of 0.428 K.

The only previous report of the transition temperature in $PrBr_3$ was based on electric susceptibility measurements (Taylor *et al* 1977) above 0.27 K. The data are plotted in figure 4 as a function of J/k_BT . Curie law behaviour is shown for



Figure 2. Typical decay as measured in PrBr₃: (a) Hahn-echo decay for the ⁷⁹Br resonance at 1.8 K; (b) solid-echo decay for the ⁷⁹Br resonance at 1.8 K.



Figure 3. Temperature dependence of T_2 for both bromine isotopes in PrBr₃ as measured by the Hahn-echo and solid-echo sequences.

reference. The data follow the 1D XY model, at least for temperatures above 2.5 K $(J/k_{\rm B}T < 1.2)$. The authors argue that an 'abrupt change of slope' occurs at T = 0.37 K $(J/k_{\rm B}T = 8.1)$, as indicated by the arrow, signalling the onset of long-range order. We do not find this interpretation convincing and it is certainly not supported by the NQR data.

The dashed line in figure 1 represents a 'reasonable' extrapolation of the frequency data to low temperatures. It assumes that the data for the two temperatures below T_c are sufficient to conclude that the phase transition in PrBr₃ is of the same nature as that in PrCl₃. Also, the dashed line satisfies the assumption that the limiting value



Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the electric susceptibility of PrBr₃ (from Taylor *et al* 1977). $J/k_{\rm B} = 3.0$ K is the interaction parameter. The arrow indicates the data point corresponding to $J/k_{\rm B}T = 8.1$ (T = 0.37 K) and indicates 'an abrupt change of slope'.

of the frequency splitting, $\Delta \nu_{\rm L}$ in PrBr₃ is such that

$$\left(\Delta \nu_{\mathrm{L}} / \nu_{\mathrm{0}}\right)_{\mathrm{PrBr}_{\mathrm{I}}} = \left(\Delta \nu_{\mathrm{L}} / \nu_{\mathrm{0}}\right)_{\mathrm{PrCl}_{\mathrm{I}}} \simeq 0.018$$

This result would be expected if the magnitude of the dimerization in the two compounds is nearly the same.

A previous estimate of the magnitude of the Peierls dimerization (Taylor *et al* 1983) in PrCl₃ yielded $\Delta c/c \simeq 0.2\%$. The estimate was deduced from a consideration of the dependence of the NOR frequency on the unit cell dimension c in the series of isostructural compounds CeCl₃, PrCl₃ and NdCl₃. It is certainly not obvious that this procedure is a reliable one.

There is, however, a better way to estimate the magnitude of the dimerization which makes use of only the limiting frequency splitting, $\Delta \nu_{\rm L}$, below $T_{\rm c}$ and the frequency, ν_0 , just above $T_{\rm c}$. Dimerization can be characterized by a parameter

$$D_{\pm} = \boldsymbol{r}_0(\Pr) - \boldsymbol{r}_{\pm}(\Pr)$$

where r_0 and r_{\pm} are defined in figure 5. For $T > T_c$ the Pr ions are equally spaced along 1D chains with separation r_0 . For $T < T_c$ dimerization occurs and alternate Pr ion separations are greater than (r^+) and less than (r_-) the spacing r_0 .

Denoting the NQR parameters above T_c as $(eq)_0$ and η_0 and below T_c as eq and η , it follows that

$$\nu(D_{\pm}) = \frac{(eq)_{D\pm} [1 - \eta^2(D_{\pm})/3]^{1/2}}{(eq)_0 [1 - \eta_0^2/3]^{1/2}} \nu_0$$

The point-charge model can be used to calculate the components of the electric field gradient tensor, and hence to obtain values of the field gradient parameter and



Figure 5. Definition of the quantities r_0 , r_+ and r_- for the normal and dimerized chains of Pr ions (dots) in PrBr₃. Neglecting thermal expansion, $r_+ + r_- = 2r_0$.

asymmetry parameter, both above and below T_c , for a series of values of D_{\pm} . The above relation remains correct for the values of ν_0 and $\nu(D_{\pm})$ so obtained, provided only that the antishielding factor is not altered by the dimerization.

The point-charge calculation performed included contributions from 900 unit cells. The magnitude of the dimerization deduced is D/c = 0.007 for the limiting value of the frequency splitting observed for PrCl₃. This result is of comparable magnitude to that estimated for the spin-Peierls transition in TTF-CuBDT (Bray *et al* 1975). A similar result is expected near the phase transition in PrBr₃.

4. Temperature dependence of the spin-spin relaxation time

 T_2 data were previously reported (Mangum and Thornton 1969) for the ³⁵Cl nuclei in PrCl₃. We repeated these measurements for several temperatures and obtained results in substantial agreement. Our new T_2 data for PrBr₃ measured above T_c are shown in figure 3 for both the ⁷⁹Br and ⁸¹Br resonances and as measured by both the Hahnecho and solid-echo pulse sequences. Several conclusions may be drawn. Firstly, the two sequences yield the same results. This is to be expected in the rigid-lattice regime. Secondly, the isotope ratio is $T_2(^{79}\text{Br})/T_2(^{81}\text{Br}) = 1.2 \pm 0.1$. This is in agreement with the theoretical ratio $T_2(^{79}\text{Br})/T_2(^{81}\text{Br}) = [\mu(^{81}\text{Br})/\mu(^{79}\text{Br})]^2 = 1.16$ for a magnetic relaxation mechanism.

The temperature dependence of T_2 is remarkable. As with the previous data for PrCl₃, T_2 decreases with temperature in an approximately linear fashion from 4.2 K to just below 1 K, but then undergoes an abrupt reversal, and increases as the temperature decreases further.

A previous study (D'Iorio *et al* 1983) of spin-spin relaxation in $PrCl_3$ at 4.2 K using a Hahn-echo sequence reported a non-exponential decay of the magnetization. The data were fitted to a function of the form $exp(-bt^{3/2})$. The \hat{T}_2 values deduced from these fits indicated that \hat{T}_2 was dominated by an electric quadrupolar mechanism, rather than a magnetic dipolar mechanism. We attempted to reproduce the behaviour reported for $PrCl_3$ but were unable to do so and have concluded that the result by D'Iorio was a spurious result.

It is possible to calculate the temperature dependence of T_2 for a magnetic relaxation mechanism. By using the spin correlation function $\langle S_z^m(t) S_z^n(0) \rangle$ given by Katsura *et al* (1970), we were able to compute the temperature dependence of T_2 for

a resonant nucleus experiencing magnetic interaction with the electronic pseudo-spin S^m associated with the crystalline electric-field ground states. The result predicts that T_2 should increase with decreasing temperature, in agreement with the calculation for PrCl₃ (D'Iorio *et al* 1983), but contrary to the experimental temperature dependence of the T_2 data.

At present we are unable to provide a theoretical explanation of the temperature dependence of T_2 . We present the results as a stimulus to theorists interested in the dynamical properties of the one-dimensional XY model.

References

Bray J W, Hart H R, Interrante L V, Jacobs I R, Kasper J S, Watkins G D and Wee S H 1975 Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 744

Colwell J H, Mangum B W and Utton D B 1969 Phys. Rev. 181 842

D'Iorio M, Armstrong R L and Taylar D R 1983 Phys. Rev. B 27 1964

Hahn E L 1950 Phys. Rev. 80 580

Hessier J P and Carison E H 1971 J. Appl. Phys. 42 1316

Katsura S, Horiguchi T and Suzuki M 1970 Physics(Utrecht) 46 67

Mangun B W and Thornton D D 1969 Phys. Rev. Lett. 22 1105

Morra R M, Armstrong R L and Taylor D R 1983 Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 809

Powles J G and Strange J H 1963 Proc. Phys. Soc. 82 6

Su S, Armstrong R L, Wei W and Donaberger R 1991 Phys. Rev. B 43 7365

Taylor D R, Harrison J P and McColl D B 1977 Physica 86-88 B 1164

Taylor D R, Morra R M and Armstrong R L 1983 J. Mol. Struct. 111 239